	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	10/621,143	HARTEL ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Charles G Freay	3746
All Participants: Status of Application: <u>pending</u>		
(1) <u>Charles G Freay</u> .	(3)	
(2) Bruce W. Slayden II.	(4)	
Date of Interview: 28 April 2005	Time: <u>3:30</u>	
Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)  Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed:  1, 7 and 15	·	
Prior art documents discussed:		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:  The changes as set forth in the examiner's amendment were agreed to. The changes were made to address objectionable claim language and typographical errors.		
Part III.		
<ul> <li>☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview</li> </ul>		
did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.		
	•	
Mul Gomma		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/	Applicant's Representative Sig	nature – if appropriate)